

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/858,198	05/14/2001	Rudolf H. Aebersold	P-IS 4693	2506	
41552	7590 04/11/2005		EXAMINER		
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 4370 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE, SUITE 700			CEPERLEY, MARY		
SAN DIEGO,	•	SUITE 700	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1641		
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) **Advisory Action** 09/858,198 AEBERSOLD ET AL.

Defense the Filing of an Annual Drief							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley	1641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. X The Notice of Appeal was filed on 24 March 2005. A brid	ef in compliance with 37 CFR 41.3	7 must be filed within	two months of				
the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)) appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rep	, or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid di	smissal of the				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: see the attached letter. (See 37 CFR 1.11	•	jected claims.					
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>76-86,90,92-99,103,105-140 and 142</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: 70-00,30,92-39,703,703-140 and 142.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will govit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. \$	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be see the attached letter.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
		Mary (Molly) E. Co Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1641	eperley				

Application/Control Number: 09/858,198-

Art Unit: 1641

1) Regarding the change of inventorship:

A statement under 37 CFR 1.48(a)(5) {agreement to change in inventorship} must be filed by each of the co-assignees University of Washington and Applera (final rejection, paragraph *1)b)*). For this application, "the assignee" referred to in 37 CFR 1.48(a)(5) is composed of co-assignees Institute for Systems Biology, the University of Washington and Applera.

2) The rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, is maintained for the reason stated in paragraph 7) of the final rejection and as originally stated in paragraph 5) of the April 07, 2003 Office action.

Applicant's arguments filed March 24, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant again reiterates that different types of cleavable groups, tags and reactive groups are described in the specification. However, applicant does not address the fact that enablement is lacking for the preparation and use of a variety of configurations and chemistries which are encompassed by the claim language. See the first two paragraphs of page 3 of the April 07, 2003 Office action, in particular the last five lines of the second paragraph (referred to in paragraph 7) of the final rejection).

3) The rejection of claims 121-140 and 142 is maintained for the reason stated in paragraph 5) of the final rejection (35 USC 112, second paragraph). The proposed amendments to claims 121, 138 and 142 would render the claims indefinite and confusing. Although a specific chemical structure is provided in the proposed amendments, there is no indication of which parts of the molecule constitute "a <u>chemical group comprising the photocleavable functional group</u>, <u>leucine tag</u> and <u>sulfhydryl reactive group</u>" (no antecedent basis in the chemical formula for the terms in question). An amendment to the claims would be entered which would a) provide the same structural formula, b) would delete any reference to the "chemical group" and the "leucine tag" c) would designate the "reactive group" as being the "I" moiety, and d) would specifically designate where the "isotope tag" is present in the formula.

Application/Control Number: 09/858,198 Page 3

Art Unit: 1641

4) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley whose telephone number is (571) 272-0813. The examiner can normally be reached from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le, can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 07,2005

Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1641